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#### Abstract

Eikosograms (see Cherry and Oldford, 2003) are diagrams that can be used to show the fundamental rules of probability such as Bayes' theorem. While Venn diagrams visually demonstrate abstract set operations and appear in almost all introductory probability text books, eikosograms are more useful in teaching probability theory and calculations, especially when two or three random variables are involved.

Software has been developed to use and construct eikosograms from data. After reviewing the basic concepts and usage of eikosograms, I will introduce the structure of the software and discuss the algorithms used.


## 1 Introduction

The eikosogram was first introduced by W.H.Cherry and R.W.Oldford in 2003 [1]. It is a unit square (area is 1 ) that 'visually grounds probability and naturally incorporates the rules of probability within its construction'[1]. It can visually identify independence relationships among two or more random variables and be used to derive Bayes' theorem.

The well-known Venn diagrams and Euler diagrams are useful in logic and set theory, and because of the close relationship between 'set' and 'event', Venn diagrams are used when we calculate the probability of an event. However, the diagram does not contain any quantitative information about probability, and may visually distort the statistical relation between events such as independence relations (see [1] 3.2). See [1] for more details about the history of ring diagrams including Venn and Euler, their relation to religious faith, and their pros and cons when used for teaching statistics. Two other diagrams, Outcome trees and Outcome diagrams, are also discussed in [1].

Eikosograms clearly demonstrate marginal, conditional and joint distributions of random variables as well as the relation between these. In addition, independence relations among variables can easily be seen. i.e. flatness usually means some kind of independence. It makes the dependence and independence relationships among random variables easy to understand, so statistical modeling and design are easy to study. See [2] for a complete discussion of the independence structure among three random variables demonstrated by eikosograms, and the graphical and log-linear models.

Eikosograms can also be used to resolve a number of probability problems and paradox. Oldford related [3] discusses examples including the gas station problem, cherry pie paradoxes, twice reversing Simpson's paradox, two-envelope paradox, the Monty Hall problem, the prisoner's dilemma and others.

The rest of this essay is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews eikosograms, especially those features that are implemented in software.

Section 3 discusses the structure of the software using $\mathrm{UML}^{1}$, the main algorithms and the data structures used to calculate values of the relevant quantities and store data.

The last section contains possible future work on the development of the software.

## 2 Eikosogram

An eikosogram uses a unit square to display different kinds of probabilities of one or more random variables. The area of the unit square represents the probability 1 . The unit square is divided

[^0]into rectangles. The width of each rectangle represents the marginal probability of the corresponding random variable and the height is the conditional probability; thus the area of each rectangle matches the corresponding joint probability of all random variables involved by Bayes' theorem. The number of such rectangles is equal to the number of all possible combinations of different values of all random variables. The rectangles are aligned inside the unit square and divided into non-overlapping strips along the horizontal axis. Each strip is split into smaller rectangles based on the values of the 'vertical' random variable and distinguished by different colours or shadings.

### 2.1 Grounding probability

The following two examples of eikosograms will be used in section 2 and 3:


Figure 1(a) involves two random variables X and Y ; Y has two possible values 'male' and 'female' and X has two possible values 'yes' and 'no'. Since we have a unit square, the length of each side and the square area are all equal to 1 , so they can be used to represent probabilities:
(i). marginal probability of X is the width/area of the vertical strip:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes})=1 / 3 ; \quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{no})=1-1 / 3=2 / 3 ;
$$

(ii).conditional probabilities of Y given X are the heights of the correspondent internal rectangles:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { yes })=2 / 3 ; \quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { no })=1 / 4 ; \quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male } \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes})=1-2 / 3=1 / 3 \quad \text { and }
$$

$\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=$ male $\mid \mathrm{X}=$ no $)=1-1 / 4=3 / 4$;
(iii) joint probabilities of Y and X are the areas of the corresponding rectangles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female, } \mathrm{X}=\text { yes })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { yes }) * \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\text { yes })=2 / 3 * 1 / 3=2 / 9 ; \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female, } \mathrm{X}=\text { no })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { no }) * \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\text { no })=1 / 4 * 2 / 3=1 / 6 ; \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male, } \mathrm{X}=\text { yes })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { yes }) * \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\text { yes })=1 / 3 * 1 / 3=1 / 9 \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male, } \mathrm{X}=\text { no })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male } \mid \mathrm{X}=\text { no }) * \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X}=\text { no })=3 / 4 * 2 / 3=1 / 2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) marginal probability of Y are the sum of the corresponding rectangles' areas, which from (iii) are seen to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female }, \mathrm{X}=\text { yes })+\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { female }, \mathrm{X}=\text { no })=2 / 9+1 / 6=7 / 18 ; \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male })=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male }, \mathrm{X}=\text { yes })+\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\text { male }, \mathrm{X}=\text { no })=1 / 9+1 / 2=11 / 18=1-7 / 18 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1(b) involves three random variables $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z , each with two possible values 'yes' and 'no'. The width of $1^{\text {st }}$ strip is $\operatorname{Pr}(X=y e s, Z=y e s)=1 / 4$ and the height of the left shaded rectangle is $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes})=2 / 3$, therefore the area of that rectangle is the joint probability $\operatorname{Pr}(X=y e s, Y=y e s, Z=y e s)=1 / 4 * 2 / 3=1 / 6$. The marginal probability of $Y=$ yes is now the sum of the areas of all the shaded rectangles and marginal probability of $X$ is the sum of the width of the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ vertical strips. The marginal probability of $Z$ can be read directly from the graph: $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes})=3 / 5$.

In section 3, I will discuss how to calculate these probabilities and construct the eikosograms in Figure 1 from input data. See [1] section 4 for more details about the raindrop metaphor of eikosogram.

An eikosogram can apply to any number of random variables and each random variable can have any number of possible values. The following eikosogram illustrates the relationships among 4 random variables- $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{X}$, and Z are binary while Y has three possible values.


Figure 2: Eikosogram for multiple variables and values

To interpret this graph, for example, the area of rectangle ' $A$ ' is equal to the joint probability $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=2, \mathrm{~W}=2, \mathrm{X}=1, \mathrm{Z}=2)$.

### 2.2 Symmetry among random variables

We can assign any one random variable to the vertical axis and the rest to the horizontal axis. For example, for two variables there are two choices as shown in Figure 3 (a) and (b).


Figure 3: Different perspectives for two variables
Figure 3 (a) is the same as Figure 1 (a); if we assign the random variable X to the vertical axis, we get (b). Once (a) is given, (b) is fixed - all probabilities must be match. See [1] 4.1.3 for more details and the next section for the computation of the probabilities. Similarly for Figure 1(b), we can have the following three eikosograms:

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 4: Different perspectives for three variables

Of course for eikosograms of three or more random variables, the order of variables assigned to the horizontal axis is arbitrary. In the software, the number of graphs displayed is equal to the number of random variables involved, with each variable being assigned to the vertical axis once on the order of the horizontal variables. See next section for details.
2.3 Water container metaphor and probabilistic independence

Dependence and independence relationships among random variables are obvious from eikosograms. Flatness across 2 or more rectangles means the equality of some conditional probabilities and hence some kind of conditional probabilistic (or unconditional if completely flat) independence. For example:


Figure 5: Independence in eikosogram

For Figure $5(\mathrm{a}), \quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes}) \quad$ is equal to $\quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes})$, but $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{no})$ is not equal to $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{no})$, similarly for $\mathrm{Y}=$ no, thus random variable $Y$ is independent of $X$ given $Z=y e s$, but given $Z=$ no, $Y$ and $X$ are dependent.
For Figure $5(\mathrm{~b}), \quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes}) \quad$ is equal to $\quad \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{no})$, and $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes})$ is equal to $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{no})$, similarly for $\mathrm{Y}=$ no, thus random variable Y is independent of Z given X , but given $\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Y}$ and X are dependent.

For Figure 5(c), all conditional probabilities of Y are equal, hence Y is independent of X and Y is independent of $Z$, but we don't know the relation between $X$ and $Z$, we cannot say the three random variables are completely independent with each other. See [3] for more details.

We can imagine that an eikosogram is a water container where a barrier exists between any two adjacent vertical strips. When we remove the barrier, the level of water in both containers will become the same and represent some sort of conditional independence. This behavior has been simulated in the software and will be discussed in detail in the next section.

## 3. Software implementation

Eikosogram software is written in Java. The program reads in data from a disk file and generates graphs. I assume the random variables are categorical , the count is integer. The data input file has the following format:
variable_name1, value1,value2,...,valueN1
variable_name2, value1, value2,..., valueN2
variable_nameM, value 1 , value $2, \ldots$,valueNm
count 11, count $12, \ldots$ count1N (where count is integer and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N} 2 *$...*Nm)
countk1,countk $2, \ldots$ countkN (where $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{N} 1$ )
For example, the data input file for Figure 1(a) looks like this:
Y,female,male
X,yes,no
40,30
20,90

## List 1.

This file may correspond to the following survey story:
The survey is about the attitude of students in a class to some school policy: the total number of students is 180,110 male and 70 female; among the male, 20 students support the policy, 90 don't; while 40 female students support it and 30 don't. The following table shows the survey results:

| female | yes | no | total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| male | 40 | 30 | 70 |
| total | 60 | 90 | 110 |

Table 1.
Data file for Figure 1(b) looks like this:
Y,yes,no
Z,yes,no
X,yes,no
210,196,42,112
105,245,252,98
List 2.
which corresponds to this table of results:

|  | $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes}$ |  | $\mathrm{Z}=$ no |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}=$ yes | $\mathrm{X}=$ no | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}$ | $\mathrm{X}=$ no |
| $\mathrm{Y}=$ yes | 210 | 196 | 42 | 112 |
| $\mathrm{Y}=$ no | 105 | 245 | 252 | 98 |

Table 2.

### 3.1 Grounding probability and generating graphs

The probabilities are calculated by the ratios of the corresponding counts. The following graphs are the same as Figure 3 except the data values in Table 1 are shown in the corresponding rectangles:


Figure 6: Grounding probabilities for two variables
The total sum is 180 . For Figure 6 (a), the marginal probability of $X$ is $\operatorname{Pr}(X=y e s)=(20+40) / 180=1 / 3$; the conditional probability of Y given X is $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=$ female $\mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes})=40 /(40+20)=2 / 3$; similar computations apply for the rest of the probabilities.
The calculations for three or more variables are very similar to the above; the key here is to grab the data from the data input file and put it into the correct rectangle (which corresponds to the correct random variable values). The following graph is the same as Figure 4, but with the labels 1 to 8 in each rectangle. Rectangles that have the same labels correspond to the same probability count and should have the same area. In each graph, label 1 corresponds to the survey count 210, label 2: 196, label 3: 42, label 4: 112, label 5: 105, label 6: 245, label 7: 252, label 8: 98.


Figure 7: Different perspectives for three variables

It is a bit more involved if the number of variable is more than 3 and the number of values is more than 2, but the basic principles remain the same; to rearrange the rectangles in different perspectives, we identify them by the random variables and the values corresponding to them. The software can deal with any number of variables and any number of values for each. Strictly speaking, this is limited by the screen size and resolution, but very rarely we deal with more than 4 variables and more than three variable values when using eikosograms.

### 3.2 Symmetry among random variables

### 3.2.1 Data structure used for storing the raw data

The program uses ArrayList from Java collections framework (part of the java.util package) to store the raw data read from flat disk file. There are some advantages to using this:
(i). Memory efficient: only store the pointer (or reference) in the data structure;
(ii). Algorithm efficient and robust: the code of the collection framework has been extensively tested, and is very professional, thus efficient and succinct.
(iii). Easy and convenient to use: the add and remove item from list are very easy and there is no need to program the reference operations.
In the program, three ArrayLists are used:

1. alVarName: used to store variable names;
2. alVarValue: used to store variable values;
3. alNum: used to store the number of values for each variable;

For example: after reading the data file for figure 1(a), the content of the above ArrayLists are:
Data file(List 1): ArrayList content :
Y,female,male
alVarName: Y,X
X,yes,no
alVarValue: female,male, yes, no
40,30
20,90
and for Figure 1(b):
Data file(List 2):
Y,yes,no
Z,yes,no
X,yes,no
210,196,42,112
105,245,252,98

alVarName: Y,Z,X<br>alVarValue: yes,no,yes,no,yes,no<br>alNum: 2,2,2

The alNum serves as a pointer when we grab values from alVarValue for variables in alVarName: for Figure 1(b), the first number ' 2 ' in alNum indicates the first 2 values in alVarValue which are 'yes' and 'no' are the values of the first random variable in alVarName which is ' Y ', and so on. The integer counts are stored in an array called 'probCount', the order of those number in the array corresponds to the contents of the above three ArrayLists. The computation and construction of an eikosogram are based on these data structures. E.g. for Figure 3, after generating (a), before generating (b), the content of the above data structures was changed as follow:

|  | Before generating <br> (a) | After (a), before (b) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alVarName | Y,X | X,Y |
| alVarValue | female,male,yes,no | yes,no,female,male |
| alNum | 2,2 | 2,2 |
| probCount | $40,30,20,90$ | $40,20,30,90$ |

Table 3.
Similarly for figure 4:

|  | Before (a) | After (a),before(b) | After(b), before(c) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alVarName | Y,Z,X | X,Z,Y | Z,Y,X |
| alVarValue | yes,no,yes,no,yes,no | yes,no,yes,no,yes,no | yes,no,yes,no,yes,no |
| alNum | $2,2,2$ | $2,2,2$ | $2,2,2$ |
| probCount | $210,196,42,112,105,245,252,98$ | $210,42,105,252,196,112,245,98$ | $210,105,196,245,42,252,112,98$ |

Table 4.
The algorithm used is pretty straightforward; in the ArrayList, we circulate the contents, the number of steps of circulation being based on the content of 'alNum'. The change of 'probCount' is a bit more complicated because the algorithm needs to deal with any number of variables each having any number of values. It becomes trivial after careful study of the situation. This algorithm is implemented in the method 'reCalProbCount()' in the class 'Eikosogram' of the source code file.

### 3.3 Water container metaphor and probabilistic independence

The simulation of the water container metaphor to form some sort of probabilistic independence is the main function of the program. The barriers are represented by small buttons on the top of the


When we click one of the buttons to remove the barrier, several situations need to be considered:

### 3.3.1 None of the other barriers ever clicked before

This is the easiest situation, i.e. the first time we click a barrier after the eikosogram is generated, we only need to consider the two vertical 'chambers' separated by the barrier clicked and, of course, all perspectives of the eikosogram need to be recalculated. The following are two examples:

Example 1. (See Figure 8) Click the barrier in Figure 8 (a): the rule here is to keep the marginal of $X$ fixed, the sum of the corresponding data counts does not change. i.e. for Figure 9(a), the sum of the data count of the left strip is still $40+20=60$, the sum of the lower two rectangles is still the $40+30=70$, this simulates the behavior of removing the barrier from the water container, with the amount of water in the chambers separated by the barrier staying the same. To adjust the water level to be the same, the computation is:

Data count for $\mathrm{Y}=$ female, $\mathrm{X}=$ yes changed from 40 to $(40+30) / 3=70 / 3$;
Data count for $\mathrm{Y}=$ female, $\mathrm{X}=$ no changed from 30 to $(40+30) * 2 / 3=140 / 3$;
Data count for $\mathrm{Y}=$ male, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes}$ changed from 20 to $(20+90) / 3=110 / 3$;
Data count for $\mathrm{Y}=$ male, $\mathrm{X}=$ no changed from 90 to $(20+90) * 2 / 3=220 / 3$;
This change must happen in the corresponding rectangles in (b) also. Recalculating the probabilities based on the changed data count, we get the following eikosograms after clicking the barrier in Figure 8(a) to arrive at the equal water levels as in Figure 9 (a):


The calculation of the probability is the same as before, e.g. $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y}=$ femal $\mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{yes})=(70 / 3) /(70 / 3+110 / 3)=7 / 18$, etc. This is exactly what happened in the program. Clicking the barrier in (b) generates the same graph based on a similar analysis.

Example 2. Figure 10 is the same as figure $7 \& 4$, the data counts correspond to each rectangle are listed in Table 5.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | 210 | 196 | 42 | 112 | 105 | 245 | 252 | 98 |

Table 5.
The total is 1260 .


Figure 10: Eikosograms for three variables before removing barrier
click the left barrier in Figure 10 (a), the rectangles labeled 1,2,5,6 in (a)(b)(c) need to be recalculated. In (a). The recalculation is similar to example 1 but with an important little difference ( pay attention to the ' $\div \frac{3}{5}$, part ):
Data count for $Y=y e s, X=y e s, Z=y e s$ (rectangle 1) changed from 210 to $(210+196) \times \frac{1}{4} \div \frac{3}{5}=\frac{1015}{6}$;
Data count for $Y=y e s, X=n o, Z=y e s \quad$ (rectangle 2) changed from 196 to $(210+196) \times\left(\frac{3}{5}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \div \frac{3}{5}=\frac{1421}{6}$;

Data count for $Y=$ no, $X=y e s, Z=y e s$ (rectangle 5) changed from 105 to $(105+245) \times \frac{1}{4} \div \frac{3}{5}=\frac{875}{6}$
Data count for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{X}=$ no, $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes}$ (rectangle 6) changed from 245 to $(105+245) \times\left(\frac{3}{5}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \div \frac{3}{5}=\frac{1225}{6}$
The calculation formula is readily derived from the rules discussed in Example 1, i.e. for graph (a) (on which the barrier was removed), keep the marginal of the joint distribution of X and Z fixed, and keep
the sum of data account in corresponding rectangles fixed, which simulates the amount of water in tanks not changing when we remove barrier.

We summarize the new data distribution in the Table 6:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $1015 / 6$ | $1421 / 6$ | 42 | 112 | $875 / 6$ | $1225 / 6$ | 252 | 98 |

Table 6.
Based on these data, recalculate all probabilities and regenerate all (a),(b),(c), we get


Figure 11: Eikosograms for three variables after removing the left barrier

Note that since the sum of the data count of rectangles $1 \& 2,5 \& 6,1 \& 5$ and $2 \& 6$ don't change, the joint probabilities of $\mathrm{X} \& \mathrm{Z}$ and Z \& Y (Figure 11 (a) and (b) ) don't change, but the joint probability of Y and X does change since rectangle 1 changed and 3 didn't. E.g. Originally, $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=$ yes, $X=$ yes $)=\frac{210+42}{1260}=\frac{1}{5}$; after the click, rectangle 1 changed from 210 to $1015 / 6$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=$ yes, $X=y e s)=\frac{\frac{1015}{6}+42}{1260}=\frac{181}{1080} ;$

Also note that in the last two strips of ( c ) , i.e. rectangle 2,4,6,8,
$\operatorname{Pr}(Z=n o \mid Y=$ yes, $X=n o)=\frac{\frac{1421}{6}}{\frac{1421}{6}+112}=\frac{203}{299} \approx .6789 \quad$ and
$\operatorname{Pr}(Z=n o \mid Y=n o, X=n o)=\frac{\frac{1225}{6}}{\frac{1225}{6}+98}=\frac{25}{37} \approx .6757$
The computer screen can not distinguish this difference, so it shows some 'flatness', but we cannot infer independence of $Z$ and $Y$ given $X=n o$; this small 'drawback' is due to the equipment we used to display the graph, not to the eikosogram itself. The software implementation includes a button that can be used to switch between fraction and decimal display, because sometimes the fraction contains a huge numerator or denominator (see Examples in 3.3.3). Due to the above analysis, before making any conclusion of independence, we need to check the fractional display.

### 3.3.2 Some barriers have been removed already

Obviously the barriers have to be adjacent to the one we are about to click, otherwise we don't need to consider it since it does not affect what we are going to do. Let's continue the Example 2 in the last section. We now remove the middle barrier in (a). Since the left barrier has been removed already, the water tanks of $1,2,5 \& 6$ have to be considered as the same container. Again we don't change the joint distribution of $\mathrm{X} \& \mathrm{Z}$ in (a) and keep the sums of correspondent data counts the same as before. The rectangles $1,2,3,5,6,7$ need to be recalculated (the data before this action is in Table 6 now):
Data count for $Y=y e s, X=y e s, Z=y e s \quad$ (rectangle 1) changed from $\frac{1015}{6}$ to $\left(\frac{1015}{6}+\frac{1421}{6}+42\right) \times \frac{1}{4} \div \frac{5}{6}=\frac{672}{5} ;$
Data count for $Y=y e s, X=n o, Z=y e s \quad$ (rectangle 2) changed from $\frac{1421}{6}$ to $\left(\frac{1015}{6}+\frac{1421}{6}+42\right) \times\left(\frac{3}{5}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \div \frac{5}{6}=\frac{4704}{25} ;$

Data count for $Y=y e s, X=y e s, Z=n o \quad$ (rectangle 3) changed from 42 to $\left(\frac{1015}{6}+\frac{1421}{6}+42\right) \times\left(\frac{5}{6}-\frac{3}{5}\right) \div \frac{5}{6}=\frac{3136}{25}$;
Similar calculations apply for rectangle 5, 6, 7. The new data counts are summarized in table 7:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $672 / 5$ | $4704 / 25$ | $3136 / 25$ | 112 | $903 / 5$ | $6321 / 25$ | $4214 / 25$ | 98 |

Table 7.
Based on these data, recalculating all probabilities and regenerating all (a), (b), (c), we get


Figure 12: Eikosograms for three variables after removing the middle barrier

It's easy to verify the results using the same calculation as before applied to the data in Table 7.
If we remove the right barrier second, the calculation will be the same as 3.3.1 since the middle barrier still exists. If we remove the right barrier second and the middle one third, the calculation will be similar to the last example, only this time the rectangles $3,4,7,8$ also need to be considered as the same tank.

Data count after removing left and right barriers in (a):

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $1015 / 6$ | $1421 / 6$ | $539 / 6$ | $385 / 6$ | $875 / 6$ | $1225 / 6$ | $1225 / 6$ | $875 / 6$ |

Table 8.
Data count after removing all barriers in (a):

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | 140 | 196 | $392 / 3$ | $280 / 3$ | 175 | 245 | $490 / 3$ | $350 / 3$ |

Table 9.
It's easy to verify the probabilities in Figure 13 from the data in Table 8 and Figure 14 from Table 9.


Figure 13: Eikosograms for three variables after removing the right barrier

We can easily see from Figure 13(a) that given Z, Y and X are independent; this relation is also shown in (b). No other independence relationships exist.


Figure 14: Eikosograms for three variables after removing all barriers in (a)

From Figure 14, we can see that, Y and X are independent given Z , which is also shown in (b); Y and Z are independent given X , shown in ( c ); No other independence relationships exist.

### 3.3.3 Remove barriers from a different container

In Figure 11, reproduced here as Figure 15(data as in Table 10), has the left barrier removed in (a). We now consider what happens when we follow this by removing the left barrier in (b). This removal affects the rectangles $1 \& 2$ but not $5 \& 6$.


Figure 15: Eikosograms for three variables removing barriers from different graphs

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $1015 / 6$ | $1421 / 6$ | 42 | 112 | $875 / 6$ | $1225 / 6$ | 252 | 98 |

Table 10. Data after removing the left barrier in (a):
We apply the same method when we remove the barrier in (a). Then rectangles $1,2,3,4$ will be changed in area but not 5,6 , and so the flatness of rectangles 1,2 in (a) will be broken. Figure 16 shows the result:


Figure 16: Eikosograms for three variables removing barriers from different graphs, as implemented

The data in each rectangle after removing the left barrier in (b) would then be as in Table 11:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $36743 / 240$ | $60697 / 240$ | $13937 / 240$ | $23023 / 240$ | $875 / 6$ | $1225 / 6$ | 252 | 98 |

Table 11.
While simple, this approach has a significant drawback. Because the left barrier has already been removed, this was asserting a conditional independence and it would be best if this were preserved, that is the flatness should still be there after the action in (b). In other words, from a modeling perspective, it might be reasonable to keep the independence of $Y$ and $X$ given $Z=$ yes when we further assert the independence of X and Z given $\mathrm{Y}=$ yes. Since the sum of rectangles $1 \& 2$ does not change after we remove the left barrier in (b), if we keep the flatness in (a), the position of the left barrier (which has been removed already) in (a) has to be changed, i.e. we have to adjust the joint distribution of $X$ and $Z$ for $Z=y e s$. This will cause the change of rectangle $5 \& 6$ in all three eikosograms. For example, when we remove the left barrier in (b), not only the rectangle 1,2,3,4 will be adjusted, but also $5 \& 6$. This side effect is caused by our intention to keep the independence of Y and X given $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{yes}$. Here are some calculations and results:
We need to recalculate the joint probability of $X=y e s, Z=y e s$ and data count of rectangle $5 \& 6$. (The sum of $1 \& 2$ is 406)
$\operatorname{Pr}(X=$ yes, $Z=$ yes $)=\frac{36743}{240} \times \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{406}=\frac{181}{800} ;$
data count in rectangle 5 is $350 \times \frac{181}{800} \times \frac{5}{3}=\frac{6335}{48}$;
data count in rectangle 6 is $350 \times\left(\frac{3}{5}-\frac{181}{800}\right) \times \frac{5}{3}=\frac{10465}{48}$

$$
\frac{36551}{43200} \quad \frac{29}{90} \frac{4}{9} \quad \frac{13}{18} \quad \frac{18}{10 \varepsilon} \quad \frac{4081}{8640} \frac{64}{86^{2}}
$$



Figure 17: Eikosograms for three variables removing barriers from different graphs

The data count in each rectangle under the new independence restriction:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data <br> count | $36743 / 240$ | $60697 / 240$ | $13937 / 240$ | $23023 / 240$ | $6335 / 48$ | $10465 / 48$ | 252 | 98 |

Table 12.
Now the problem is: Can we implement this type of behaviour in software assuming the user can remove (or reinstall) any barrier at any time? For example: continuing with Figure 17, what if the user removes the right barrier in (a), which will cause a change of $3,4,7,8$ in (a), but in (b), since the left barrier has been removed already, the change in 3,4 will cause a change in 1,2 and that is related to 5,6 because of (a). How about we remove a barrier in (c) next? What about the eikosogram for four random variables and more than two values each (Figure 2)?

These problems remain open. The software implemented here always assumes that conditional independences are not necessarily preserved in one eikosogram when some are asserted (barriers removed) in another.

### 3.4 Software Structure

The software is written in Java and includes 6 classes: Eikosogram (main function), EikosoCanvas, EikosoRec, EikosoBarrier, EikosoInternalFrm and Fraction. All calculations in section 3.3 use rational arithmetic to avoid rounding errors. The Fraction class defines the rational computation and the method to display the fraction on the screen. Here is the class structure using UML:


The key component of this class is the gcd (greatest common divisor); gcd is calculated using the gcd method of BigInteger class java.math.

EikosoBarrier class defines characteristics and the basic behaviours of the barriers in each graph. Since it is clickable, this class is derived from the java swing JButton. The index field identifies the barrier on the graph and, if 'pressed', the colour of this barrier will change to red, otherwise the colour is green (may not true on mac or unix).


EikosoRec defines characteristic and behaviours of each small rectangle in the eikosogram. Each rectangle has a size given by its width and height and a position given by the left top coordinate: xPos and yPos. Also, the characteristic contains the colour or shade given by the HSB values and the data count corresponding to this rectangle. The data count includes an original one when the user first input the data so that the user can reinstall the barrier to resume the original graph, and a current data count calculated when the user removed a barrier. The rectangle is identified by the random variable value corresponding to it.


EikosoCanvas is the most important class of this application; all the calculations discussed in the last section of this paper are in this class. Each 'canvas' holds one graph which includes a two dimensional array of 'rectangles' such as Figure 17 (a). To display Figure 17, we need to generate three such canvas objects. Besides the rectangles, the 'canvas' also contains some barriers. The call back function 'barActionPerformed()' defines the actions after the user removes or reinstalls a barrier which in turn calls the 'reCalRec()' or 'resumeRec()' method to do the calculations mentioned before.


EikosoInternalFrm is a placeholder to hold 'EikosoCanvas', it only has one characteristic 'index' which identifies itself.


Eikosogram is the main function of this java application, it defines some basic functions such as changing colours and reading the data file to generate the original graph. The 'reCalProbCount()' method defines the calculations which generate different perspectives of an eikosogram. The 'reCalCanvas()' method is called by the EikosoCanvas method ' recalRec()' to do the calculation on other perspectives of the graph when the user removes a barrier. The resize behaviour of the main frame is also defined here. (UML next page)

See appendix for the documents of these classes.

### 3.5 Examples in the software

The following examples are included in the software:
(i). Binary Association including: Perfect positive association; Part perfect positive association; Positive association; Independence; Negative association; Part perfect negative association; Perfect negative association;
(ii). Relating Events including: Coincident; Complementary; Mutually Exclusive; Independent;
(iii). Independence including: Y indep. X ; Y indep. X given Z ; Y indep. X given Z value; Not quite 3 way independence; 3 way independence;
For the details of these examples, see [1] section 4.3

## 4. Future work

The current version of the software has implemented everything until 3.3.3. It does not maintain user defined conditional independencies across graphs. That is when a barrier is removed from one eikosogram, the results do not respect barriers previously removed in other eikosograms. The future work of the software development therefore includes maintaining all the previous independence relation constraints when we remove a barrier. We may also study and compare the results of applying different probability models to analyze contingence table and the 'shape' of data visualized by eikosogram.


## References:
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[2]. Probability, problems, and paradoxes pictured by eikosograms. R.W.Oldford, University of Waterloo August 7, 2003
[3]. Understanding probabilistic independence and its modeling via Eikosograms and graphs. R.W.Oldford, University of Waterloo August 7, 2003

## Appendix: Eikosogram software documents

## Class EikosoCanvas

public class EikosoCanvas

extends javax.swing.JPanel
Title: EikosoCanvas.java
Description:
Copyright: Copyright (c) 2005
Company: university of waterloo

## Version:

1.0

Author:
glenn lee

## Constructor Detail

## EikosoCanvas

```
public EikosoCanvas(EikosoRec[][] rec,
    int index,
    Eikosogram ef)
```

Create an EikosoCanvas object with rec.

## Parameters:

rec - two dimensional EikosoRec on this canvas
index - index of this canvas
ef - the host of this canvas

## Method Detail

## getIndex

```
public int getIndex()
```


## Returns:

Index of this canvas
addLabels
private void addLabels()
Add labels around the graph
addValues
private void addValues()
The probVal[][] is calculated in calRecs(), this method set location and add the probability value labels on the graph. Also need to add two extra "0" labels.

## getBar

```
public EikosoBarrier[] getBar()
```


## Returns:

Array of barriers of this canvas

## barActionPerformed

```
public void barActionPerformed(java.awt.event.ActionEvent e)
```

This call back function reacts on the user's click one barrier.

## Parameters:

$e$ - the event that user click a barrier

## resumeRec

```
private void resumeRec(EikosoBarrier curBar)
```

This method resumes the correspondent EikosoRec to its original status.

## Parameters:

curBar - the barrier that user clicked

## recalRec

```
private void recalRec(EikosoBarrier curBar)
```

Recalculate the correspondent EikosoRecs when users first click a barrier.

## Parameters:

curBar - the barrier that user clicked
getRec
public EikosoRec[][] getRec()

## Returns:

This canvas' eikosoRecs

## setColor

```
public void setColor(java.awt.Color c)
```

Set the color of this canvas.
Parameters:
c - the color to be set for this canvas

## getColor

```
public java.awt.Color getColor()
```


## Returns:

the color of this canvas

## calColor

```
private void calColor()
```

Assume instance variable 'Color c' has been set already, this method calculate colours for each of its EikosoRec

## calRecs

```
private void calRecs()
```

Calculate recs' width, height, xPos, and yPos.

## reCalGraph

```
public void reCalGraph(int width,
    int height)
```

The host method to refresh a canvas

## Parameters:

width - The width of this canvas
height - The height of this canvas
clear

```
public void clear()
```

Remove everything from this canvas

## paintComponent

```
public void paintComponent(java.awt.Graphics g)
```

Draw this canvas

## Parameters:

g-graphic instance used to draw.

## Class Eikosogram

public class Eikosogram
extends javax.swing.JFrame
Title: Eikosogram.java
Description:

Copyright: Copyright (c) 2005
Company: university of waterloo

## Version:

1.0

## Author:

glenn lee

## Constructor Detail

## Eikosogram

```
public Eikosogram()
Create an empty frame.
```


## Method Detail

## makeBasicForm

```
private void makeBasicForm()
```

Generate the example menu and some general purpose buttons

## jbtnChangeColorActionPerformed

```
public void jbtnChangeColorActionPerformed(java.awt.event.ActionEvent e)
    Call back function for the change color button.
```


## Parameters:

e-The event that user click the change color button

## jbtnCloseActionPerformed

public void jbtnCloseActionPerformed (java.awt.event.ActionEvent e)
Call back function for the close button. used to exit the application

## Parameters:

e-The event that user click the close button.

## jbtnOpenFileActionPerformed

```
public void jbtnOpenFileActionPerformed(java.awt.event.ActionEvent e)
```

Call back function for the open file button. Display the file chooser, then read file.

## Parameters:

e - The event that user click the open file button.

## jbtnResetActionPerformed

```
public void jbtnResetActionPerformed(java.awt.event.ActionEvent e)
    Call back function for the reset button. Resume the original eikosogram.
Parameters:
    e - The event that user click reset button.
```


## readDataFile

```
private void readDataFile(java.lang.String str)
```

Read disc file

## Parameters:

str - File name

## clearScreen

```
private void clearScreen()
```

Remove all internal frames from the main frame.

## readFile

```
private void readFile()
```

Read data file operation

## Throws:

IOException -

## genGraph

## private void genGraph()

Generate all eikosograms

## reCalCanvas

```
public void reCalCanvas(int ind,
    EikosoRec[][] changedRec)
```

This method is called by reCalRec() from EikosoCanvas, it recalculates all graphs except the 'ind' one using the new changed EikosoRec

## Parameters:

ind - The index of canvas that has been changed already, other canvases need to be changed according to it.
changedRec - The two dimension array of the changed EikosoRec

## exitForm

```
private void exitForm(java.awt.event.WindowEvent evt)
```


## Class EikosoRec

public class EikosoRec
Constructor Detail

## EikosoRec

```
public EikosoRec(Fraction count,
    java.lang.String[] varName,
    java.lang.String[] varValue)
```

Create a new EikosoRec object

## Parameters:

count - Data count corresponds to this rectangle
varName - Random variable names
varValue - Random variable values correspond to 'varName'

## Method Detail

## getVarName

```
public java.lang.String[] getVarName()
```


## Returns:

The variable names of this rectangle

## getVarValue

```
public java.lang.String[] getVarValue()
```


## Returns:

The variable values of this rectangle

## setchanged

```
public void setchanged(boolean b)
    Set the flag if this rectangle changed or not
Parameters:
```

    b-True if changed, false if not
    getchanged
public boolean getchanged()

## Returns:

If this rectangle changed

## getLabel

```
public javax.swing.JLabel[] getLabel()
```


## Returns:

The label corresponds to this rectangle

```
getX
```

```
public int getX()
```


## Returns:

The X coordinates of the left top corner of this rectangle

```
getY
```

```
public int getY()
```


## Returns:

The Y coordinates of the left top corner of this rectangle

## set $X$

```
public void setX(int x)
```

Set the X coordinate of the left top corner of this rectangle

## Parameters:

x - The X coordinates

```
setY
```

```
public void setY(int y)
```

Set the Y coordinate of the left top corner of this rectangle

## Parameters:

y - The Y coordinates

## getWidth

```
public int getWidth()
```


## Returns:

The width of this rectangle

## getHeight

public int getHeight()

## Returns:

The height of this rectangle

## getHSB

```
public float[] getHSB()
```


## Returns:

The hsb color of this rectangle

## setHeight

```
public void setHeight(int height)
```

Set the height of this rectangle

## Parameters:

height - The height about to be set

## setWidth

```
public void setWidth(int width)
```

Set the width of this rectangle

## Parameters:

width - The width about to be set

## setHSB

```
public void setHSB(float h,
    float s,
    float b)
```

Set the hsb color of this rectangle
Parameters:
$\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{h}$ component of color
$s$ - $s$ component of color
$b-b$ component of color

## getOriCount

public Fraction getOriCount()

## Returns:

The original data count corresponds to this rectangle

## getCurCount

```
public Fraction getCurCount()
```


## Returns:

The current data count corresponds to this rectangle

## setCurCount

```
public void setCurCount(Fraction count)
```

Set the current data count of this rectangle after the rectangle changed

## Parameters:

count - The data count about to be set

## setOriCount

```
public void setOriCount(Fraction count)
Set the original data count of this rectangle
Parameters:
```

count - The data count about to be set

## isEqual

```
public boolean isEqual(java.lang.String[] names,
                        java.lang.String[] values)
```

Test if two rectangles correspond to the same data count Parameters:
names - The variable name of the rectangle this compared
values - The correspondent variable value

## Returns:

True if 'this' corresponds to the same data count with the rectangle compared

## Class EikosoBarrier

public class EikosoBarrier

extends javax.swing.JButton
Title: EikosoBarrier
Description:
Copyright: Copyright (c) 2005
Company: university of waterloo

## Version:

1.0

Author:
glenn lee

## Constructor Detail

## EikosoBarrier

```
public EikosoBarrier(int ind)
    Create a barrier object
Parameters:
```

ind - The index of this barrier in one perspective of eikosogram

## Method Detail

```
getIndex
```

```
public int getIndex()
```


## Returns:

The index of this barrier

## getPressed

```
public boolean getPressed()
```


## Returns:

True if this barrier has been removed, false otherwise

## setPressed

```
public void setPressed(boolean b)
```

Set the status of this barrier: removed or not

## Parameters:

b- True if this barrier has been removed, false if not

## Class EikosoInternalFrm

public class EikosoInternalFrm
extends javax.swing.JInternalFrame

Title: EikosoInternalFrm.java
Description:
Copyright: Copyright (c) 2005
Company: university of waterloo

## Version:

1.0

Author:
glenn lee

## Constructor Detail

## EikosoInternalFrm

```
public EikosoInternalFrm(java.lang.String title,
    boolean b1,
    boolean b2,
    boolean b3,
    boolean b4,
    int ind)
```

Create an internal frame to hold one perspective of eikosogram

## Parameters:

title - The title of this frame
b1 - Resizable
b2 - Closable
b3-maximizable
b4 - Iconifiable
ind - The index of this frame

## Method Detail

## getIndex

public int getIndex()

## Returns:

The index of this frame

## Class Fraction

public class Fraction

Title: Fraction
Description:
Copyright: Copyright (c) 2005
Company: university of waterloo
Version:
1.0

Author:
glenn lee

## Constructor Detail

## Fraction

```
public Fraction(java.lang.String numerator,
    java.lang.String denominator)
```

Create one fraction

## Parameters:

numerator - The numerator of this fraction
denominator - The denominator of this fraction

## Fraction

```
public Fraction()
```

Create the fraction ' 0 '

## Method Detail

## floatValue

```
public float floatValue()
The float value of this fraction
```


## Returns:

The float value

## setZero

```
public void setZero()
```

Set this fraction to ' 0 '

## toString

```
public java.lang.String toString()
```

The string display of this fraction

## Returns:

The string

## simplify

```
private void simplify()
```

Divide both numerator and denominator by their gcd

## toFraction

```
public static Fraction toFraction(java.lang.String s)
    Convert a string to a fraction
Parameters:
    s - The string about to be converted
Returns:
    A fraction object of the string
```

getNumerator
public java.math.BigInteger getNumerator()

## Returns:

The numerator of this fraction

## getDenominator

```
public java.math.BigInteger getDenominator()
```


## Returns:

The denominator of this fraction

## setNumerator

```
public void setNumerator(java.math.BigInteger b)
```

Set the numerator of this fraction

## Parameters:

b-The numerator about to be set

## setDenominator

```
public void setDenominator(java.math.BigInteger b)
```

Set the denominator of this fraction

## Parameters:

b-The denominator about to be set

## getGcd

public java.math.BigInteger getGcd()

## Returns:

The gcd of numerator and denominator of this fraction

## setGcd

```
public void setGcd(java.math.BigInteger num,
    java.math.BigInteger den)
```

Set the gcd of this fraction

## Parameters:

num - The numerator of a fraction to be set
den - The denominator of a fraction to be set
add

```
public Fraction add(Fraction f)
```

Add 'this' to another fraction f

## Parameters:

f - A fraction to be added
Returns:
The sum of two fractions

## subtract

```
public Fraction subtract(Fraction f)
```

Subtract f from 'this'

## Parameters:

f - The fraction to be subtracted
Returns:
The result of the subtraction

## multiply

```
public Fraction multiply(Fraction f)
```

Multiply 'this' by a fraction f

## Parameters:

f - The fraction to be multiplied

## Returns:

The result of the multiplication

## multiply

```
public Fraction multiply(int i)
```

Multiply 'this' by a integer i

## Parameters:

i- The integer to be multiplied

## Returns:

The result of the multiplication

## divide

```
public Fraction divide(Fraction f)
    Divide 'this' by a fraction f
Parameters:
    f - The fraction to be divided
Returns:
```


## divide

public Fraction divide(int i)
Divided 'this' by an integer i
Parameters:
i - The integer to be divided
Returns:
The result of the division; null if $i$ is zero


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ UML is a standard for object-oriented modeling notations endorsed by the Object Management Group(OMG), and industrial consortium on object technologies.

